

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
scalequalaccess@yahoo.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
KENNETH DAVIDSON

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KENNETH DAVIDSON,
Plaintiff,
vs.

FAROKH H. MOGHADAM, AS
TRUSTEE OF THE 1999 FAROKH H.
MOGHADAM AND LUZ EUGENIA
NUNEZ HUSBAND AND WIFE
REVOCABLE TRUST; and DOES 1 to
10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES;
2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff KENNETH DAVIDSON ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants FAROKH
H. MOGHADAM, AS TRUSTEE OF THE 1999 FAROKH H. MOGHADAM AND
LUZ EUGENIA NUNEZ HUSBAND AND WIFE REVOCABLE TRUST; and DOES 1
to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
3 diagnosed with paraplegia secondary to MVA damaging T7 and is substantially limited in
4 his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling
5 in public.

6 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
7 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a barber shop
8 (“Business”) located at or about 919 N. Orange Ave., La Puente, California.

9 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
10 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
11 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
12 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
13 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such
14 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
15 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

16 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
17 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
18 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
19 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
20 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

21 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
22 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
23 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
24 and severally.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25
26 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
27 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
28 *seq.*)

1 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). Defendants
7 reside in this district, San Bernardino County, California.

8 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

9 10. In or about May of 2022, Plaintiff went to the Business.

10 11. The Business is a barber shop business establishment, open to the public,
11 and is a place of public accommodation and affects commerce through its operation.
12 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

13 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
14 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
15 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

16 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
17 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 18 a. Defendant failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons
19 with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards.
20 Defendants failed to maintain the paint on the ground as required.
- 21 b. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
22 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
23 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with
24 the International Symbol of Accessibility.
- 25 c. Defendant failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons
26 with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards.
27 Defendants failed to provide the access aisles with level surface
28 slopes.

d. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards. The provided van accessible parking space was located too far away from the Business.

14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the Business and caused him difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to patronize the Business, however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are removed.

15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection. Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of

1 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
2 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

3 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 4 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
5 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
6 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
7 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
8 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
9 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
10 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 11 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
12 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
13 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
14 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
15 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
16 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
17 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
18 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 19 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
20 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
21 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
22 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
23 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
24 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
25 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 26 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
27 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
28 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including

1 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
2 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
3 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
4 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
5 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
6 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
7 area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost
8 and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

9 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
10 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
11 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
12 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated "van accessible." 1991 ADA
13 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
14 be van parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

15 22. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
16 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
17 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
18 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
19 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
20 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
21 11B-502.3.3.

22 23. Here, Defendants failed to properly maintain the access aisles as there were
23 no "NO PARKING" and faded blue lines painted on the parking surface.

24 24. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
25 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
26 view of a wheel chair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide
27 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
28 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the

1 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
2 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
3 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
4 occupant. *See* CBC § 11B-502.6.4, *et seq.*

5 25. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the surface with the
6 International Symbol of Accessibility as required.

7 26. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level
8 with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2.
9 Accessible parking spaces shall be at least 96 in (2440 mm) wide. Parking access aisles
10 shall be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance and shall comply
11 with 4.3. Two accessible parking spaces may share a common access aisle. Parked
12 vehicle overhangs shall not reduce the clear width of an accessible route. Parking spaces
13 and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all
14 directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3.

15 27. Here, there were large cracks and broken concrete that created an uneven
16 surface. Under the 2010 Standards, access aisles shall be at the same level as the parking
17 spaces they serve. Changes in level are not permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4. “Access
18 aisles are required to be nearly level in all directions to provide a surface for transfer to
19 and from vehicles.” 2010 Standards § 502.4 Advisory. *Id.* No more than a 1:48 slope is
20 permitted.

21 29. At least one accessible route shall connect accessible building, facilities,
22 elements, and spaces that are on the same site. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.3.2. A public
23 accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities
24 and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with
25 disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

26 30. Here, Defendants failed to provide one accessible route from the van
27 accessible aisle to the entrance of the Business as the provided van accessible parking
28 space was located to far away from the Business.

31. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

32. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related regulations.

33. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

35. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

36. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial, or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

1 37. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any
2 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
3 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

4 38. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
5 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
6 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
7 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
8 51 and 52.

9 39. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
10 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
11 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

12 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

14 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 41. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
17 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
18 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
19 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
20 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
21 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
22 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
23 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
24 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
25 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

26 42. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
27 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
28 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an

individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

43. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the access of any person in violation of that act.

44. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

45. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.

46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

47. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

48. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds

1 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
 2 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
 3 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
 4 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
 5 persons with disabilities.

6 49. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
 7 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
 8 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
 9 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
 10 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
 11 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
 12 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
 13 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

14 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **NEGLIGENCE**

16 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18 51. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
 19 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
 20 to the Plaintiff.

21 52. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
 22 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

23 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
 24 has suffered damages.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;
2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;
3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;
4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;
5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: July 29, 2022

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
Jason J. Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff